

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,630	05/24/2006	Shinya Takagi	034620-144	4372
46188 Nixon Peabody	7590 03/10/201 z I I P	0	EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 6061	0		TORRES RUIZ, JOHALI ALEJANDRA	
Palo Alto, CA 94306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2858	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/580,630	TAKAGI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOHALI A. TORRES RUIZ	2858	

	JOHALI A. TORRES RUIZ	2858	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	od Con attached Nation of Nam Co.		OTOL 204)
= ··· - ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		mpliant Amendment (i	OL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>		imals filed amandmar	st concelling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submiliteu iii a separate, t	imely liled amendmen	it canceling the
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Edward Tso/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2858	

Continuation of 11: In response to applicants argument that Nakashimo does not teach a switch that disconnects a battery from both a DC power supply and a load device. Nakashimo teaches a power supply system comprising a switch that disconnects a battery (101) from both a DC power supply apparatus (104) and a load device (103) when the cell voltage of said battery (101) shows overcharquing or over-discharquing of said battery (101) 1/8ar-5 and 30).

In response to applicant's argument that Nakashimo does not teach that the switch has a function that connects the battery to both a DC power supply and a load device. This alternative was not relied upon on the previous rejection. The limitations of the claim required the alternatives of a switch disconnecting the battery from a DC power supply and a load or connecting the battery from a DC power supply and a load. Nakashimo teaches a a switch (102) that is provided with such function that disconnects a battery (101) from both a DC power supply apparatus (104) and a load device (103) (Par.5 and 30).

In response to applicant's argument that Baldwin teaches a charging current limiting circuit supplying a current of an arbitrary value independent of load fluctuations. Bladwin teaches a charging current limiting circuit (8) supplying a current of an arbitrary value independent of load fluctuations (Col.9, Lines 3-19). The current limiting circuit takes into account the amount of charging current and not load fluctuations to prevent the battery from receiving damaging excess recharding levels (Col.9, Lines 4-19).